

CMO1-I/11.3

Rehāvī ūṣūli ṣakīl

Critical Report

Semih Pelen

Funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft
(DFG, German Research Foundation) - Project number 265450875

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- ShareAlike 4.0 International License

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>



The electronic version of this work is also available at:

<https://corpus-musicae-ottomanicae.de>

Rehāvī ūṣūli ṣakīl

Source	TR-Iüne 214-12
Location	P. 7, l. 3 – p. 12, l. 3
Makâm	Rehâvî
Usûl	Sakîl
Genre	Peşrev
Attribution	—
Index Heading	Rehāvī ūṣūli ṣakīl; ḍarb 48
Work No.	CMOi0204

Remarks

There is an Arabic mîm letter (م) below the heading.

Before the 1st ending at the end of H2, the last two groups of the division are written in a slightly smaller font (See div. 50.2–3). There might be two possible reasons behind this. First, these groups could have been added by the scribe at a later stage. If it is assumed that the piece was copied from another source/sources (see note on 58); it could be claimed that an indication technique was used in the original source because the same division appeared before in H1 (See div. 11). In this way, a reference is being made so that the scribe avoided having to write the whole part again and the same indication technique is used for teslîm sections in other pieces. But this time, it would not be appropriate to say that the scribe did not know this technique since it had been used before for the teslîm sections of the previous piece. However, it is possible that the scribe wanted to fill in the missing groups because there were no asterisks or other signs used to refer the division since it is not part of a teslîm. The second and stronger possibility is that the division might have been completed by a later hand whose existence is also supported by subsequently added duration signs such as / and . .

For all of the second endings in this piece, the scribe preferred to use : instead of ❖.

The piece is attributed to Kantemiroğlu (1673–1723) in TR-Iüne 207-5.

Structure

H1	:	1	: :	1	:
H2	:	1	: :	1	:
H3	:	1	: :	1	:
H4	:	1	: :	1	:

- 45.1 Orig. $\hat{a}w\sim$; 2nd lay. $\hat{a}w\sim$. Transcribed as $\hat{a}w\sim$. TR-Iüne 205-3, TR-Iüne 207-5, TR-Iüne 211-9: $\hat{a}w\sim$.
- 45.2 Orig. $\sim w\sim$; 2nd lay. $\sim w\sim$. Transcribed as $\sim w\sim$. TR-Iüne 205-3, TR-Iüne 211-9 (1st lay.): $\sim w\sim$; TR-Iüne 207-5, TR-Iüne 211-9 (2nd lay.): $\sim w\sim$.
- 45.3 $\hat{a}w\sim$ for $\hat{a}w\sim$. TR-Iüne 205-3, TR-Iüne 211-9, TR-Iüne 207-5: $\hat{a}w\sim$.
- 47.4 $\hat{a}w\sim$ for $\hat{a}w\sim$. TR-Iüne 205-3, TR-Iüne 211-9, TR-Iüne 207-5: $\hat{a}w\sim$.
- 49.4 $\hat{a}w\sim$ for $\hat{a}w\sim$. TR-Iüne 205-3, TR-Iüne 211-9: $\hat{a}w\sim$; TR-Iüne 207-5: $\hat{a}w\sim$.
- 51.1–3 $\hat{a}w\sim$ $\hat{a}w\sim$ $\hat{a}w\sim$ for $\hat{a}w\sim$ $\hat{a}w\sim$ $\hat{a}w\sim$.
- 58 From the shade of ink, it is deducible that the scribe mistakenly wrote the second upcoming division first and then subsequently erased it. This might constitute evidence for the argument that the scribe copied the piece from another source.
- 58.4 Orig. $\hat{a}w\sim$. The first pitch sign was scratched out and the group changed to $\hat{a}w\sim$. Transcribed as $\hat{a}w\sim$. TR-Iüne 205-3; TR-Iüne 207-5: $\hat{a}w\sim$.
- 60.1 $\hat{a}w\sim$ for $\hat{a}w\sim$. TR-Iüne 205-3, TR-Iüne 211-9: $\hat{a}w\sim$; TR-Iüne 207-5: $\hat{a}w\sim$.
- 65.4 Orig. $\hat{a}w\sim$. Changed to $\hat{a}w\sim$. Transcribed as $\hat{a}w\sim$. TR-Iüne 205-3; TR-Iüne 207-5: $\hat{a}w\sim$.
- 74.4 Orig. $\hat{a}w\sim$. Scratched out and changed to $\hat{a}w\sim$. Transcribed as $\hat{a}w\sim$. TR-Iüne 205-3, TR-Iüne 207-5, TR-Iüne 211-9: $\hat{a}w\sim$.
- 77.1 $\hat{a}w\sim$ for $\hat{a}w\sim$. As a part of an identical second ending, the group appeared before at div. 51.1 as $\hat{a}w\sim$. TR-Iüne 205-3: $\hat{a}w\sim$.
- 77.2 $\hat{a}w\sim$ for $\hat{a}w\sim$. As a part of an identical second ending, the group appeared before at div. 51.2 as $\hat{a}w\sim$. TR-Iüne 205-3: $\hat{a}w\sim$.
- 77.3 $\hat{a}w\sim$ for $\hat{a}w\sim$. As a part of an identical second ending, the group appeared before at div. 51.3 as $\hat{a}w\sim$. TR-Iüne 205-3: $\hat{a}w\sim$.
- 79.3 $\hat{a}w\sim$ for $\hat{a}w\sim$. TR-Iüne 205-3, TR-Iüne 211-9: $\hat{a}w\sim$; TR-Iüne 207-5: $\hat{a}w\sim$.
- 80.3 $\hat{a}w\sim$ for $\hat{a}w\sim$. TR-Iüne 205-3: $\hat{a}w\sim$; TR-Iüne 207-5: $\hat{a}w\sim$.
- 81.3 See note on 79.3.
- 83.3 See note on 80.3.
- 92.2 $\hat{a}w\sim$ for $\hat{a}w\sim$. TR-Iüne 205-3: $\hat{a}w\sim$; TR-Iüne 207-5: $\hat{a}w\sim$.
- 101.3 $\hat{a}w\sim$ for $\hat{a}w\sim$. TR-Iüne 205-3, TR-Iüne 207-5: $\hat{a}w\sim$.
- 104.1 $\hat{a}w\sim$ for $\hat{a}w\sim$. TR-Iüne 205-3, TR-Iüne 211-9: $\hat{a}w\sim$; TR-Iüne 207-5: $\hat{a}w\sim$.

Consulted Concordances

TR-Iüne 205-3, pp. 25–8; TR-Iüne 207-5, pp. 19–22; TR-Iüne 211-9, pp. 154–8.