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# Sāzkār ūṣūli ḍarbeyn 

Source
Location
Makâm
Usûl
Genre
Attribution
Index Heading
Work No.

TR-Iüne 214-12
P. 12, 1. 4 - p. 18, 1.2

Sâzkâr
Darbeyn
Peşrev
Tanbûrî Musi (fl. ca. 1750)
Sāzkār Musi'niñ ūṣūli ḍarbeyn; ḍarb 30
CMOi0215

## Remarks

There is an Arabic mîm letter (尺) below the heading.
It is evident that the scribe hesitated on dividing cycles into divisions depending on usûl darbeyn, which is comprised of two usûls (here devr-i kebîr and berefşân). Most probably, the conflict arose around considering the length of one cycle to be between $30 / 2$ and $60 / 2$. Accordingly, in H1, the placement of division signs and parentheses were changed, which could be seen from the red ink stains. A more perceptible form of this indecisive intervention can be seen at H2, where some of the cycles were divided differently - evident because the previous markings had not been erased. But regarding the red ink tones, it is also possible to assume that the corrections were made by a later hand. Because, in H 3 and H 4 where there is no intervention, the red ink is slightly darker. Since these corrective interventions also coincides with the consulted concordances, the usûl structure was interpreted as a combination of devr-i kebîr and berefşân, where a cycle equals to thirty half notes.
Some kind of special technique was used for some of the endings. According to this technique; an incomplete note group before the first ending was combined with the groups in both the first and second endings, which are given in parentheses. Additionally, for some of the second endings (divs. $52,71,89,107,126$ ) the scribe preferred to use : instead of $\%$.

## Structure

| H1 | $\mid:$ | 2 | $: \mid:$ | 2 | $: \mid$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H2 | $\mid:$ | 2 | $: \mid:$ | 2 | $: \mid$ |  |  |
| H3 | $\mid:$ | 2 | $: \mid:$ | 2 | $: \mid:$ | 2 | $: \mid$ |
| H4 | $\mid:$ | 2 | $: \mid:$ | 2 | $: \mid$ |  |  |

The endings of particular cycles in H1 and H4 (divs. 33-34, 141.3-142 and 156.4-158) are shown in parentheses as if there are second endings. In this regard, it is assumed that there are repetitions at the end of those divisions.

## Pitch Set



## Notes on Transcription


10.2

$11.2 \quad$ See note on 10.2

12.3.2 $\quad$ for $\boldsymbol{\sim}$. Because the divs. $9-18$ appear again between $43-52$ and the pitch is as $\approx$ this time, it is highly possible that the scribe forgot to place the kisver (pitch alteration sign). TR-Iüne 205-3, TR-Iüne 207-5, TR-Iüne 211-9: $\begin{gathered}\text {. }\end{gathered}$
$17.1 \quad \dot{\sim}$ was added later because of the wrong placement of the opening parenthesis of the first ending. If it was placed after the first note group in div. 16, there would be no need to add this extra pitch due to special ending writing as explained in the Remarks section above.

$25.3 \quad{ }^{*} \sim$. The duration sign above the first pitch sign seems like a dotted stroke but it also could be caused by a scribal error. Based on the concordances it has been transcribed as a stroke without a dot $\stackrel{\omega}{ }$. TR-Iüne 205-3, TR-Iüne 207-5, TR-Iüne

Due to a corrective intervention of the scribe on usûl structure, : is altered to $\therefore$.
 Wrong placed div. sign in the middle of the div. seems to have been cancelled with a vertical scratch later by the scribe or another hand.
See note on 30.1.

The starting point of the first ending seems to have been changed by adding an extra parenthesis with red inked pen, possibly by a later hand who was not aware of the special ending writing.
59.2.2 $\quad$ for $\boldsymbol{\star}$. Because the divs. between 58-61 appeared before between 40-43 and the group as $\boldsymbol{\pi}$, it is highly possible that the scribe forgot to place the kisver. TR-Iüne 205-3, TR-Iüne 207-5, TR-Iüne 211-9: $\boldsymbol{\sim}$. $\dot{\sim}$ was added with red ink by a later hand who was not aware of the special ending writing.
~~w for "ٌ", TR-Iüne 205-3: The div. is missing. TR-Iüne 207-5, TR-Iüne 2119: "~~".


## See note on 64.1.
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Consulted Concordances
TR-Iüne 205-3, pp. 3-7; TR-Iüne 207-5, pp. 8-12; TR-Iüne 211-9, pp. 8-12.

