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# Būselik Fetḥ-i Baġdād muḥammes 

Source
Location
Makâm
Usûl
Genre
Attribution
Index Heading
Work No.

TR-Iüne 214-12
P. 33, 1. 8 - p. 36, 1.6

Bûselik
Muhammes
Peşrev
-
Būselik Fetḥ-i Baġdād ūṣūli muḥammes; ḍarb 16
CMOi0055

## Remarks

There is an Arabic mîm letter (尺) below the heading.
In TR-Iütae 249, the piece is attributed to Mahmûd I (1696-1754) although there is no attribution for the remaining consulted concordances.
Some of the opening parentheses of the endings were completed or repainted by black ink over red (See divs. 34 and 52 in the ms.).

## Structure

| H1 | $\mid:$ | 2 | $: \mid$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H2 | $\mid:$ | 2 | $: \mid:$ | 2 | $: \mid$ |
| H3 | $\mid:$ | 2 | $: \mid:$ | 2 | $: \mid$ |
| H4 | $\mid:$ | 2 | $: \mid:$ | 2 | $: \mid$ |

There is a red inked mîm letter ( P ) above $:$ at div. 17 in H 2 and it is assumed to signalize a repetition.

## Pitch Set



## Notes on Transcription

4.4

6.4

8.4
11.2
12.4
17.1
 ．
For for TR－Iüne 211－9：$\widehat{\text { ans．}}$ ．

 Iüne 211－9：بر ．

 s．
 ：

 Iüne 211－9：
 211－9：～～～
 ．
天＊for
．Due to similar structure between divs．27－28 and 31－32，it would be expected to be the same as 32.3 （ 2 ）．In this regard，there is a possibility that the scribe made a mistake．But because it might also be a variant depending on playing style，it is transcribed as it is．
مبی今人

 ：
See notes on 34．1．
 211－9：s．．．

For
：for

 211－9：
 to provide a guide. But because the structure is the same as in divs. 34.1 and 35.1, the transcription is made with those duration signs.
44.1 A visible ink stain behind the group possibly caused by a corrective intervention of the scribe.
$46.2 \quad \wedge \tau$. Due to similar phrases between divs. 45.3-46.4 and 47-48.2, it would be expected to be the same as 47.4 ( $\sim \bar{\sim}$ ). In this regard, there is a possibility that the scribe made a mistake. But because it might also be a variant depending on playing style, it is transcribed as it is $\mu \sim$. TR-Iüne 205-3, TR-Iüne 211-9: $\sim$ ~ . In TR-Iüne 211-9, it is apaprent that the scribe wrote $\sim \tilde{\sim}$ at first, as is the case in TR-Iüne 214-12 and then subsequently changed $\tilde{\sim}$ to $\tilde{\sim}$ by overwriting and without any scratching or erasing process. This might be an indication for the argument that TR-Iüne 211-9 was copied from TR-Iüne 214-12 or that they both were copied from the same source.

$50.1 \quad$ See note on 49.1.


52.1 See note on 49.1. TR-Iüne 211-9 ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ lay.) : $;$.
59.3.4 $\quad \omega$ for $\boldsymbol{\omega}$. Due to similar a structure between divs. 23-24 and 59-60, it would be expected to be the same as 23.3.4 (iv). In this regard, there is a possibility that the scribe made a mistake. By considering the context and consulted concordances, it has been transcribed as $\boldsymbol{\approx}$. TR-Iüne 205-3: $\begin{gathered}\text {; }\end{gathered}$ TR-Iüne 211-9: \#.

60.4.4 See note on 59.3.4.
62.3 See note on 26.3.

## Consulted Concordances

TR-Iüne 205-3, pp. 38-40; TR-Iüne 211-9, pp. 17-19; TR-Iütae 109, pp. 200-201; TR-Iütae 249, pp. 571-2.

