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# 'Irāk Zekī Aġa'nıñ ūṣūli devr-i kebīr 

Source
Location
Makâm
Usûl
Genre
Attribution
Index Heading
Work No.

TR-Iüne 214-12
P. 54, 1. 4 - p. 56, 1. 9

Irâk
Devr-i kebîr
Peşrev
Zekî Mehmed Ağa (1776-1846)
'Irāk Zekī'niñ ūṣūli devr-i kebīr; ḍarb 14
CMOi0341

## Remarks

Mîm (م) letter below the heading.
Later hand additions and corrections mostly coincide with the original notation in TR-Iüne 205-3 and TR-Iüne 211-9.
While the piece is attributed to Zekî Mehmed Ağa in majority of the consulted concordances; in TR-Istek [1], it is attributed to Hampartsum Limonciyan (1768-1839).

## Structure

| H1 | $\mid:$ | 3 | $\mid$ | $1(\mathrm{~T})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H2 | $\mid:$ | 3 | $\mid$ | $1(\mathrm{~T})$ |
| H2 | $:$ |  |  |  |
| H3 | $\mid:$ | 3 | $\mid$ | $1(\mathrm{~T})$ |
| H4 | $: \mid$ |  |  |  |
| H4 | $\mid:$ | 3 | $\mid$ | $1(\mathrm{~T})$ |
|  | $: \mid$ |  |  |  |

## Pitch Set



## Notes on Transcription

3.2
 Iüne 211-9: :
4.2


 ルコン．




8.2 Orig． $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \mu}$ ．Above the second pitch sign， $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ was added with duration symbols， probably by a later hand．Therefore，the $2^{\text {nd }}$ lay．appears to be：$, \ldots, \mu$ ．Since the original group is assumed to be a scribal error，the transcription is made as
 107：



 TR－Iütae 249，pp．2097－8：ㄹ́́；TR－Iütae 107：Áw．


16．1 After the group，there is a pair of dots in red ink which seem like a wrong placed div．sign．It might also be an ink blot．
18.3
＊

$20.2 \quad$ Orig．$\downarrow \downarrow$ ．The first pitch sign $\downarrow$ was altered to $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ ．It is not clear if it was done by the scribe or a later hand．Therefore，the $2^{\text {nd }}$ lay．appears to be：$\hat{\imath v}$ ．Transcribed
 107：：．TR－Iütae 249，pp．2105－6：is ．


Orig．．The first two pitch signs were scratched out，probably by a later


Orig． 211－9：


27.3
28.3
29.2
31.2
44.2.4 $\approx$ for $\omega$. TR-Iüne 205-3; TR-Iüne 211-9; TR-Iütae 249, pp. 2105-6: ; TR-Iütae 107: $\boldsymbol{\omega}$.
45.3 Orig. . The first pitch sign was scratched out and moved along to above $\downarrow$ by a later hand. In this regard, the $2^{\text {nd }}$ lay. appears to be: $\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\mu}$. Since the original group seems like a scribal error, based on concordances it is transcribed as
 107: ~~



 107: /
52.3
 Iütae 107: 今ッ~ .
 Iütae 107:
53.3 Orig. $\sim w^{\prime}$. The group has been scratched out and an alternative group subsequently written above as $\sim \mathcal{\sim} \sim$, possibly by a later hand. Transcribed as
 TR-Iütae 107: ~
54.4.3 $\sim$. The pitch sign intersects with a division sign next to it, probably because the scribe forgot to place it at first.
56.2.4 . There is a red ink dot behind the pitch sign. The scribe probably attempted to place the division sign mistakenly at first.
 " "
58.1





## Consulted Concordances

TR-Iam 1537, pp. 21-4; TR-Iboa 355, img. 223-6; TR-Iboa 374, fols. 98r-99r; TR-Iüne 203-1, p. 12; TR-Iüne 205-3, pp. 60-63; TR-Iüne 211-9, pp. 212-16; TR-Iütae 107, pp. 104-6; TRIütae 108, pp. 163-4; TR-Iütae 249, pp. 873-4.

