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## Eve semā̄̄̄ Corci'niñ

Source
Location
Makâm
Usûl
Genre
Attribution
Index Heading
Work No.

TR-Iüne 214-12
P. 107, 1. 1 - p. 109, 1. 2

Eve
Aksak semâî
Gaz semâîsi
Kemânî Corci (d. after 1785)
Eve semācī
CMOi0015

## Remarks

Almost all of the later additions / corrections appear to be in red ink (the additions at dives. 31-32 are in black ink).
The original layer suggests that the piece was copied from TR-Iboa 355 since there are even the same scribal mistakes and choices at some particular points in the mss. (See notes on 8.1, 21, 30 and 47).

## Structure



H2 is marked with an asterisk by the scribe to indicate that the entire hâne is teslîm.
It is assumed that the mîm letter at the end of div. 46 (above the division sign) indicates a repetition.

Pitch Set


## Notes on Transcription

1.2-4 Orig. . . The groups were scratched out and the new alternatives

 .
2.2-3 Orig. . The groups were scratched out and the new alternatives


$2.4 \quad$ Orig. $\dot{\sim} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ lay. $\dot{\sim}$. Cf. divs. 4.4, 5.4, 6.4, 9.4, 22.4, 35.4, 42.4 and 46.4. Transcribed as $\underset{\sim}{\dot{\sim}}$. TR-Iütae 108: $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$; TR-Iütae 249, TR-Iboa 355: $\dot{\sim}$.


Orig. $\dot{\sim}$; $2^{\text {nd }}$ lay. $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$. The addition of $\mathcal{A}$ seems to have been made by a later hand. Accordingly, the dot sign denoting a half note value was probably scratched out by the same hand. Transcribed as $\dot{\sim}$. TR-Iüne 204-2, TR-Iütae

4.3

Orig. (
 249, TR-Iboa 355: تر :


8.1 Orig. out by a later hand. The first ending of H1 starts with this group but the scribe omitted the parentheses for this first ending. It seems that a later hand added an opening parenthesis, which is also reflected in the transcription. In TR-Iboa 355, there is also no parenthesis for the first ending. TR-Iütae 108: $\dot{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$; TR-Iütae 249, TR-Iboa 355:
8.4 Orig.

9.1 Orig. The first pitch sign in superscript was scratched out by a later hand. Transcribed as
10.1-2 Orig. . . . The groups were scratched out and the new alternatives were written above as $\dot{\sim}$

 TR-Iboa 355:
11.1-2 Orig.
 108: :
 Iboa 355: حمشٌ .
12.1-2 Orig. 0 . The groups were scratched out and the new alternatives were


12.3 Orig. 今; ; ; 2nd lay. Iboa 355:
13.2 Orig. 204-2:
14.2-3 Orig.
苚; TR-Iütae 249, TR-Iboa 355:
Orig.

15.3 Orig. . . The first pitch sign seems to have been scratched out by a later
 249, TR-Iboa 355: ,
 TR-Iboa 355:
17.3 Orig. Transcribed as "
18.3 Orig. . . The axis symbol seems to have been scratched out by a later hand.
 Orig. 249, TR-Iboa 355: مـرير.
20.3 Orig. $\approx$. The axis symbol seems to have been scratched out by a later hand.
 The scribe omitted the parentheses for the first ending. The parentheses in red ink which are also added in the transcription probably belong to a later hand. In TR-Iboa 355, there is also no parenthesis for this first ending. Orig. 249, TR-Iboa 355:

Orig. $\therefore$. The pitch sign was scratched out and the new alternative was written
 $\sim$; TR-Iboa 355: $\dot{\lambda}$.
25.4
 phrases in the previous two divisions.
Orig. 249:
 Iütae 249:
The scribe omitted the parentheses in the first ending. In TR-Iboa 355, there are also no parentheses for the first ending.
For the second ending, the scribe used : instead of $:$ as in TR-Iboa 355.
.nner for
 Iütae 249, TR-Iboa 355: .
, for $\ddot{\sim}$


 249, TR-Iboa 355: w/w/ .
Orig. . . An alternative group was written above as $\mu$. . by a later hand.

Orig.



 See note on 34.1.
Another extra sign (\#), apart from an asterisk, seems to have been added to indicate the teslîm by a later hand. It is ignored in the transcription.


Orig.
 Iboa 355: Anwn.
Orig. $\stackrel{\star}{\wedge} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ lay. $\dot{\beta}$. The first pitch sign (in superscript) was scratched out by a

46.2
46.3 Orig. $\omega_{1}$. The second pitch sign was scratched out by a later hand. Transcribed

47
 Transcribed as " as $"_{1} w_{1}$. TR-Iütae 249, TR-Iboa 355:
There is only an opening parenthesis and it presumably functions as an indicator of the change in the usûl (from aksak to sengîn semâî) as in TR-Iboa 355. Thus, it is ignored in the transcription.
49.1


$51.2 \quad$ Orig. $\quad$. $; 2^{\text {nd }}$ lay. . . The last pitch sign seems to have been scratched out and subsequently moved to the begining of the next group by a later hand. Transcribed as "ড"", on the basis of div. 47.2. TR-Iboa 355:
51.3
53.1

 out by a later hand. Transcribed as "

54

A later hand added a loop sign at the end of the division, which is ignored in the transcription.

## Consulted Concordances

TR-Iüne 204-2, p. 3; TR-Iütae 108, p. 50; TR-Iütae 249, p. 221; TR-Iboa 355, img. 301, 246.

